

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

19

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/355,673	08/19/1999	MASAYUKI YAMANA	20-4594P	6781	
7:	590 02/07/2003				
	VART KOLASCH & B	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747	REDDICK, MARIE L			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713		
			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

	-					AS
		<u> </u>	Applicati	nN.	Applicant(s)	
	•		09/355,6	73	YAMANA ET AL.	
••	Offic	Action Summary	Examin	<u> </u>	Art Unit	
			Judy M. F	Reddick	1713	
Peri d f		LING DATE of this commu	nication appears on th	cover sheet v	vith the correspondenc address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	MAILING I ensions of time r r SIX (6) MONT e period for repl D period for repl ure to reply with reply received t	O STATUTORY PERIOD F DATE OF THIS COMMUN may be available under the provision HS from the mailing date of this com y specified above is less than thirty (y is specified above, the maximum s in the set or extended period for repl by the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 30) days, a reply within the statatutory period will apply and wowen will. by statute, cause the apply and wowen will.	vent, however, may a tutory minimum of th vill expire SIX (6) MO olication to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
1)⊠	Respons	ive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>23 January 20</u>	003 .		
2a)□	•	on is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is			
3)		accordance with the prac			atters, prosecution as to the merits .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is
•		<u>2-8,12 and 14-38</u> is/are p	ending in the annlicat	ion		
•	• • •	above claim(s) is/a				
	-	is/are allowed.	are withdrawn nom oc	nisideration.		
5)∐ e\⊠	• • •	-	and 34 38 is/are reject	Med		
6)⊠		2-8,12,14-22,25-27,29-32		oleu.		
7)⊠		23,24,28 and 33 is/are obj		roquiromont		
8)∐ Applicat	_ Claim(s) ion Paper:	are subject to restri s	ction and/or election	requirement.		
	•	ication is objected to by th				
10)		ng(s) filed on is/are				
	• •				yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)		•			disapproved by the Examiner.	
_	• • •	ed, corrected drawings are re		office action.		
, —		r declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.			
Priority (under 35 L	J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)⊠	Acknowle	dgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	⊠ All b)□	☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1.⊠ Cer	tified copies of the priority	documents have been	en received.		
	2. Cer	tified copies of the priority	documents have been	en received in a	Application No	
* (•	pies of the certified copies application from the Inter ached detailed Office action	national Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		
				•	. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica	tion).
а	a) 🔲 The ti	ranslation of the foreign la gment is made of a claim	nguage provisional a	pplication has l	been received.	•
ر نے رق Attachmen		g	zamodno priority t			
1)	ce of Reference ce of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	. •

Application/Control Number: 09/355,673

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicant's submission filed on 01/23/03 has been entered.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 23, 24, 28 and 33 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because said claims reference two sets of claims to different features. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

 Accordingly, the claims 23, 24, 28 and 33 have not been further treated on the merits.
- 3. Claims 23, 24, 28 and 33 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The aforementioned claims are dependent from canceled claims, viz., claims 1, 9-11 and 13, either directly or ultimately.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 2-8, 12, 14-22, 25-27, 29-32 & 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A) The recited "linear or cyclic silicones, esters, diesters, ketones and ethers" per claims 2, 37 and 38 constitutes indefinite subject matter as per it not being readily ascertainable as to

Application/Control Number: 09/355,673

Art Unit: 1713

whether th "linear or cyclic" governs the "silicones" only or also the "esters", "diesters", "ketones" and "ethers".

B) The recited "glycol ethers, esters and diesters" per claim 12 constitutes indefinite subject matter as per it not being readily ascertainable as to whether the "glycol" is intended to qualify the "ethers" only or the "esters" and "diesters" as well.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2-8, 12, 14-22, 25-27, 29-32 and 34-37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Misaizu et al as per reasons of record per paper no. 16, 09/23/02. Further, the copolymer per Misaizu et al, in latex form, contains recurring monomer units that overlap in scope with recurring monomer units (l)-(III) and (IV) and/or (V). See cols. 2-6, Runs and the claims of Misaizu et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/355,673

Art Unit: 1713

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whol would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misaizu et al(U.S. 5,068,295).

Mizaizu et al as applied to claims 2-8, 12, 14-22, 25-27, 29-32 and 34-37. Further, while Misaizu et al only disclose, as a solvent, ether compounds which are generic to the claimed glycol ethers, such necessarily implies that any ether compound, including the claimed glycol ether compound, would have been operable within the scope of patentees invention and with a reasonable expectation of success. Criticality for such, commensurate in scope with the claims, not having been demonstrated on this record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. Claims 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP'695(Yoshio et al) as per reasons of record stated in paper no.16, 09/23/02. Further the copolymers of JP'695 contain repeating monomer units overlapping in scope with the claimed copolymer containing repeating units (l) – (III) and (IV) and/or (IV). See pages 3-5 of JP'695.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 01/23/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Relative to JP'295 and Misaizu et al---The crux of Counsel's arguments appear to hinge on the claimed copolymers not being taught in the Runs of Misaizu et al or JP'295. To this end, the specification need not contain an example if the invention is otherwise disclosed in such a mann r that one skilled in the art will be able to practic it without an undue

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/355,673

Art Unit: 1713

amount of exp rimentation(In re Borkowski, 422 F 2d. 904, 908, 164 USPQ 642, 645 (CCPA 1970)).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judy M. Reddick whose telephone number is (703)308-4346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703)308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)892-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-8183.

). M. Reddick Judy M. Reddick Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

JMR From February 6, 2003